DATE SUBMITTED

O7/05/2024

COUNCIL ACTION (X)

PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED ()

RESOLUTION ()

ORDINANCE 1ST READING ()

ORDINANCE 2ND READING ()

CITY CLERK'S INITIALS ()

IMPERIAL CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION/ACTION: 1. Authorization to reject claim CW File Number CJP-3051898 as recommended by Carl Warren & Company.			
DEPARTMENT INVOLVED: Human Resources			
BACKGROUND/SUMMARY:			
Recommendation from Carl Warren & Company to reject claim CW File Number CJP-3051898 submitted by Tanya Cruz on behalf of a minor on June 28, 2024.			
FISCAL IMPACT: NOT TO EXCEED	FINANCE		
There is no fiscal impact associated with this action	on.		
STAFF RECOMMENDATION:			
Staff recommends approval to reject claim.	DEPT. INITIALS		
MANAGER'S RECOMMENDATION: Approve Staff Recommendation	on CITY MANAGER'S INITIALS		
MOTION:			
SECONDED: AYES: NAYES: ABSENT:	APPROVED () REJECTED () DISAPPROVED () DEFERRED () REFERRED TO:		



CLAIM FOR DAMAGE OR INJURY AND AGAINST THE CITY OF IMPERIAL, CALIFORNIA

Claims for death, injury to person, or to personal property must be filed not later than six months after the occurrence. (Gov. Code, Sec. 911.2)

Claims for damages to real property must be filed not later than 1 year after the occurrence. (Gov. Code, Sec. 911.2)

TO: City of Imperial		
420 So. Imperial Ave.		
Imperial, CA 92251		
Tanya Cruz OBO X a minor 1400 N Imperial Ave . 92243		ninor
Name of Claimant Address Zip	Phon	e Age
Megan Koster, Esq.; Wilshire Law Firm - 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010		
Address to which Claimant wishes notices sent		
WHEN did damage or injury occur? 2/23/2024		
Tricit did damage of injury occur:		
WHERE did damage or injury occur? at or near 5th Street and H Street in the City of In	nperial, CA 92251	
HOW and under what circumstances did damage or injury occur? Please see	Attachment A.	
WHAT particular action by the City, or its employees, caused the alleged da	amage or injury? (Ir	nclude Names of Employees, if
known)		
Claimant alleges and believes that the City of Imperial maintains & supervises the physical condition	en of the subject area wh	ish alound a substantial rate is securing the
incident. Please see Attachment A.	on of the subject area wit	ich played a substantial role in causing the
WHAT sum do you claim? Include the estimated amount of any prospectiv	e loss, insofar as it	may be known at the time of the
presentation of this claim, together with the basis of computation of the ar		
Bodily Injury		
\$ in excess of \$1mil		
Property Damage		
\$ in excess of \$1mil		
Other Total A § In excess of \$1,000,000.00	Amount Claimed	\$ In excess of \$1,000,000.00
5 111 800835 01 \$1,000,000.00		
NAMES and addresses of witnesses, Doctors and Hospitals:		
Wittnesses - TBD		
El Centro Regional Medical Center - 1415 Ross Avenue El Centro, CA 92243 - (760) 339-7100		
Megan Koster MEGAN KOSTER, ESQ. / WILSHIRE LAW FIRM	06/28/24	
Signature of Claimant	Date	

3055 Within Blvd, 12º Floor Los Angeles, CA 80010-1137

<u>ATTACHMENT A</u>

Claimant TANYA CRUZ obc (hereafter "Claimant") hereby presents the following information in support of her claim(s) against the City of Imperial (hereafter "City"), in satisfaction of the requirements under Government Code section 910, and as supplement to the City's claim form.

General Description of the Damage, Loss, or Indebtedness Incurred

On or around February 23, 2024, a dangerous condition on public property caused serious injury and damage to Claimant when she was traveling in a vehicle driven by her mother Tanya Cruz upon a public, City-maintained roadway. As Ms. Cruz used due care and drove her vehicle upon 5th Street., they encountered a dangerous condition in the roadway, which caused them to crash into an oncoming vehicle, seriously injuring Claimant. These events occurred in or around the vicinity of 5th Street and H Street in the City of Imperial, County of Imperial, State of California 92251.

Claimant's injuries include, but are not limited to, the following: head pain, as well as noneconomic damages including pain and suffering.

If Claimant files a lawsuit related to this matter, she will file it as an unlimited civil case and allege monetary damages in an amount greater than the jurisdictional minimum. Medical records and completed treatment are pending.

Government Entity's or Employees' Liability

This governmental entity is responsible for Claimant's injuries because, based upon information and belief, said entity owned, leased, occupied, and/or controlled the property where the accident occurred on February 23, 2024, and was negligent in the use or maintenance of such property. The entity failed to use reasonable care to keep the property in a reasonably safe condition and/or failed to use reasonable care to discover any unsafe conditions and to repair, replace, or give adequate warning of anything that could be reasonably expected to harm others, including confusing and misleading lanes of traffic and/or changes in the direction of traffic that endangered the safe movement of traffic and which was not, and would not have been, reasonably

apparent to or anticipated by a person using due care, including Plaintiff; inadequate signage, warnings, or other traffic safety devices in a construction zone; creation of a concealed trap; and/or other defects that may be established through discovery.

Even if this entity did not own or lease the property, it controlled the property and was therefore responsible for maintaining, in reasonably safe condition, all areas that it controlled, including confusing and misleading lanes of traffic and/or changes in the direction of traffic that endangered the safe movement of traffic and which was not, and would not have been, reasonably apparent to or anticipated by a person using due care, including Plaintiff; inadequate signage, warnings, or other traffic safety devices in a construction zone; creation of a concealed trap; and/or other defects that may be established through discovery. The condition of the property created an unreasonable risk of harm that the entity knew, or through the exercise of reasonable care, should have known about but failed to repair, protect against, or give adequate warning of the condition to Claimant.

This entity had a duty to maintain, inspect, manage, design, repair, and/or control the property where Claimant's injury occurred at the time of the Incident and breached its duty to Claimant by negligently maintaining, inspecting, managing, designing, repairing, and/or controlling the property, including confusing and misleading lanes of traffic and/or changes in the direction of traffic that endangered the safe movement of traffic and which was not, and would not have been, reasonably apparent to or anticipated by a person using due care, including Plaintiff; inadequate signage, warnings, or other traffic safety devices in a construction zone; creation of a concealed trap; and/or other defects that may be established through discovery.

The negligent and/or wrongful conduct of the entity's employee(s) acting within the scope of his/her/their employment created the unsafe condition on the property.

The entity had actual and/or constructive notice of the unsafe condition as described herein prior to the date of the incident yet did nothing to repair, remedy, correct, provide safeguards against, or provide warning of the unsafe condition, which

caused Claimant's incident and injuries. As a result of the negligent and/or wrongful conduct of the entity's employee(s) acting within the scope of his/her/their employment including, but not limited to, failure to provide any warning of the subject unsafe condition, Claimant was harmed.

The entity's negligence or wrongful conduct was a substantial factor in causing harm to Claimant.

Dated: June 28, 2024

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC

Megan Koster, Esq.

Attorney for Claimant